

# CAPITOL STREET

---

March 28, 2024

**Psych Payment: 2025 +2.6%**

We Said Refinement Possible & Big Shifts Unlikely for '25

Relevant Companies



---

The 179-page proposal can be found [here](#).

## »» Our Take & Next Up

**The proposed 2025 IPF rule would provide +2.6% rates to Psych hospitals, in line with our expectations and preview of 3/14 with no draconian new policies for '25.** Urban and rural freestanding for-profits to see +2.2% and +4.1%, respectively. Despite Congressionally-mandated analysis of the somewhat dated PPS we said that we do not foresee major draconian pay updates for psych hospitals (near-term). We do look for DRG reforms in the out years; see highlights of the payment rule and Background below.

## »» Key Points

**The market basket (MB) increase is proposed to be +3.1% with a 0.4% point reduction for the productivity adjustment.** Outlier payments are estimated to change from 2.1% to 2.0% (-0.1%) of total estimated IPF payments. The total FY 2025 impact would be a net increase of \$70 M in payments to IPF providers, which includes an estimated \$75 M increase from the payment rates and a \$5 M decrease from the outlier threshold amount.

**Updates to the IPFQR (Inpatient Psych Facility Quality Reporting) program are as follows:**

- **Mandates IPFs to submit specified quality data to CMS to assess and enhance care quality.** Non-compliance results in a 2% point reduction in annual payment update.
- **Introduction of the 30-Day Risk-Standardized All-Cause Emergency Department Visit Following an Inpatient Psychiatric Facility Discharge measure.** This new measure evaluates emergency department visits within 30 days post-discharge, providing insight into post-care outcomes. The aim is to bolster discharge planning and care coordination efforts.
- **CMS proposes IPFs to submit patient-level quality data quarterly, aligning with other programs to reduce data strain on IPF systems and improve reporting efficiency.**

**The proposed rule also includes RFIs on IPF PPS Patient Assessment Instrument & IPF PPS Facility-Level Adjustment Factors.** CMS solicits public input on standardized patient assessment data to refine future

IPF PPS payments and monitor quality. They are also seeking feedback on potential revisions to facility-level adjustments for IPF PPS payments based on recent cost and claim analysis.

## **BACKGROUND & DRG REFORM COMING**

**There was a legislative mandate to study the Inpatient psychiatric payment rule (IPF) PPS for the last two years** – acuity, resource allocation and cost. CMS issued a white paper in 2022; MedPAC released a report in June 2023. We believe that many of the dated findings do not necessarily convey the current behavioral health landscape (post COVID). MedPAC has discussed its “wishes” for the IPF and we highlight them below, but we note that these are “wish list” legislative ideas for Congress; CMS would be unable to implement many of these without a change in the law. Mental health “wins” are also discussed, with the historical context for major agency pay changes (IRF, SNF) which took 1-3 years before proposal & enactment.

**We had said (3/14) that we believe that CMS will provide “light” reform in its March/April proposed 2025 IPF Medicare payment regulation.** The mandated reforms are supposed to be “budget neutral” (or \$0 overall) which could create code winners & losers, for sure. We believe this because:

1. CMS has not performed any external TEP (technical expert panels) of late to collect their own data, and particularly more recent data
2. CMS typically takes time (2-3 years) to review salient data before proposing a bold reform idea, such as the creation of new DRGs (diagnosis related groups, or other coding intensity practices)
3. We believe that anything more controversial would be a RFI (request for information) on more appropriate co-morbidities or case-mix in the 2025 rule
4. We do not believe CMS will take into account MedPAC recommendations in this rule, as those are bigger payment shifts that would require an act of Congress (e.g., 190 day limit removal)

**Better behavioral health – in all forms – is a bipartisan supported policy topic of significant public health need.** We do not envision the agency lowering the boom on psych providers in this post COVID environment. Policies in the mix (in other parts of the DC policy ecosystem) include an extension of telemental benefits, substance abuse treatment flexibility, potentially lifting the IMD exclusion (Medicaid) which allows Medicaid to reimburse for care in inpatient setting with 16+ beds (Medicaid has not covered for over 50 years). In tandem, CMMI introduced in Jan a behavioral health pilot for 8 years in 8 states ([here](#)) to better integrate physical and mental health needs in Medicare & Medicaid.

**MedPAC has taken up IPF payment policy discussions over the last ~2 years of public sessions with a slew of recommendations that are largely geared toward Congress.** These would be helpful but don't look out for them in the proposed '25 CMS payment rule as they require Congress. MedPAC slides from its most recent meeting can be found [here](#).

- *Reevaluating and potentially remove the lifetime limit of 190 days for freestanding IPFs .* This addressed the challenges faced by beneficiaries who reach this limit and require ongoing care.
- *Given the low uptake of MA plans that offer additional IPF coverage, suggest initiatives to improve awareness among beneficiaries* regarding the coverage options available to them, especially those exceeding the 190-day limit.
- *Measures to enhance the monitoring of the quality of care in both IPFs and general acute care hospitals providing scatter-bed stays.* This could involve the development of a standardized quality reporting

program.

- *Consider the differences in diagnoses between IPFs and general acute care hospitals. A comprehensive analysis of the appropriateness of care settings for different psychiatric conditions is needed.*

**The psych community has had some policy “wins” of late, positively.** See our Minibus with healthcare provisions [here](#). Two specific policies are called out below in the March CR, with hospitals, physicians and community health center funding being provided.

- Permanent IMD exclusion for patients with SUD (substance use disorder, Medicaid)
- State Medicaid programs must cover all MAT (medication assisted therapies) for opioid use disorder

**Historically, CMS took several years before proposing (major) pay reforms in post-acute (home health, Rehab & SNF).** We said we think it would be premature for the agency to propose draconian policies without more recent case-mix, acuity, cost, and trend analysis for 2025. However, if they do, mitigation is likely. We think it is more likely that small-ball reforms are proposed with a request for stakeholder input on other bigger ideas that restructure the IPF PPS.

---

**Ipsita Smolinski**  
**Managing Director | Capitol Street**  
ipsita@capitol-street.com

202.250.3741 | www.capitol-street.com

900 19th St NW 6th Fl  
Washington, D.C. 20006

**CAPITOL STREET**

---

**Copyright 2024 Capitol Street.**

*This communication, including this broadcast and any attachments hereto, is intended solely for the original recipient(s) and may not be redistributed without the written consent of Capitol Street. This communication is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instruments, nor is it intended as advice to purchase or sell such instruments*